

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,178	11/26/2003	Hyo-Hak Nam	8071-50 (OPP 030570 US)	8071-50 (OPP 030570 US) 5722	
22150	7590 12/29/2005		EXAMINER		
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD			NGUYEN, THANH NHAN P		
	Y, NY 11797		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		

DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			54			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/723,178	NAM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P. Nguyen	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 O</u>	<u>ctober 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 17-40 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>17-40</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document		tan Ma				
2. Certified copies of the priority document			~ 0			
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Burea 	•	eu in this National Sta	ge			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed				
oce the attached detailed emoc detail for a list	or the contined copies not reserve	54.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-15:	2)			

Application/Control Number: 10/723,178

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

This communication is responsive to Amendment dated 10/3/2005.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 17-40 contain embodiments directed to following patentably distinct species of the claims invention:

- A. One embodiment drawn to a method for hardening the sealant to combine the first substrate and second substrate comprising: disposing a reflector located opposite the second substrate with respect to the first substrate; and directing light from the second substrate to the sealant to be hardened, [fig. 14A, claims 17-33 & 37-40].
- B. Another embodiment drawn to a method for hardening the sealant to combine the first substrate and second substrate comprising: directing light from the first and the second substrates to the sealant to be hardened, [fig. 14B, claims 17-31, 34 & 37-40].
- C. Another embodiment drawn to a method for hardening the sealant to combine the first substrate and second substrate comprising: directing light from the first substrate to the sealant to be hardened, [fig. 14C, claims 17-31 & 35-40].

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, it appears that claims 17-31 & 37-40 are generic.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement must include an

Application/Control Number: 10/723,178

Art Unit: 2871

:ii. 0074

identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent from or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to (Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1673. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F/9-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/723,178

Art Unit: 2871

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

(Nancy) Thanh-Nhan P Nguyen

Examiner

Art Unit 2871

-- December 23, 2005 --

M

ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4